

Ukraine

Effectiveness of investigation index

Prohibition of torture index

Video archives protected, there are sanctions for tampering with data

6

161.1

General

- Conditions created for effective judicial review of torture
- Quality of official statistics is unsatisfactory

Access to, and safekeeping of video evidence

- Torture victims not protected in practice
- Medical examination of all detainees is mandatory
- 20 cases investigated without a torture claim from the

Population - 44 400 000

Prison population (convicted) — 33 380

In pre-trial detention (under investigation) – 11 367

Detainees (under administrative arrest) – 5 307

Law enforcement budget 4 bln USD

Penitentiary personnel – 22 000

	Number of police officers – 97 000
Judgments documenting the problem of torture	
Judgments and decisions of international bodies documenting the problem of torture and ill-treatment	29
Judgments of Ukrainian courts	No data available
Judgments excluding evidence obtained under torture	No data available
Criminalization of torture and ill-treatment	
Explicit prohibition of torture in criminal law	Yes, there is explicit prohibition of torture
Criminalization of acts falling within the definition of torture	Criminalized. Sanctions defined clearly and precisely
Definition of torture consistent with international treaties	Definition of torture is not fully consistent with the Convention
Government body investigating allegations of torture and ill-treatment	
There is a body authorized to investigate torture	There is a separate, independent body, but it does not have strict jurisdiction over torture cases
Number of investigators	No data
Investigator workload	No data
Investigating body's jurisdiction	No strict jurisdiction over torture cases
Geographic coverage	Represented only in large territorial units
Budget	Sufficient and covers unplanned expenses
Number of complaints of torture and ill-treatment	852 (according to Ombudsman)
Ratification of relevant international treaties	
Relevant conventions	All relevant conventions ratified
Optional Protocol to the UN Convention against Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment (2002)	Signed and ratified
Istanbul Protocol	Partially implemented in national legislation
Procedural guarantees	
Documenting the actual detention start time	Required, but applied selectively in practice
Lawyer notification at the actual detention start time	Required, but applied selectively in practice
Family notification	Applied selectively
Medical examination	Required, but applied selectively
Preventive mechanisms	
Independent external review mechanism	Available in all provinces
Video surveillance	Not all facilities equipped; private areas excluded from surveillance
	1